Summary of User Testing Feedback

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Qualitative Summary of User Testing Feedback** | |
| **Purpose** | Most people understand the purpose of the website and the contents are mostly easy to read. |
| **Navigation** | The navigation on the nav bar is still mildly confusing and some feel lost when attempting to navigate back to the home page.  “The AACI is clear and easy to navigate around and user friendly.” |
| **Content Presentation** | Content density: Overview content has mixed feedback; some people said it's “too much” information, others said it’s about right.  Content formatting: The size of fonts can be adjusted. Smaller fonts can be A/B tested before the final release.  Aesthetics: “Beautiful website” |
| **GovTrack Comparison** | Some people really like it, one response expressed that “the consistency of the order of things needs to be fixed and I think chronological order should go left to right. And, top to bottom.”  “I think the order of things is easier on govtrack. Sometimes you have things left to right and some things right to left. Also need to look at up vs. down. It's not consistent and not always intuitive.”  “It is simpler but leaves out specific information I would always need, like who from my delegation is a cosponsor.”  “It was a good experience. It was easy to interact with and had clear paths to moving between pages. I see there are links to "See full bill" but when clicked it takes me to an article or letter explaining the bill. It might be helpful like GovTrack to see the actual bill or have a link to the actual bill and amendments to read word for word.”  “I think the site that was built looks like a smaller version of the [GovTracker] site” |
| **Other** | “It was a good experience. It was easy to interact with and had clear paths to moving between pages. I see there are links to "See full bill" but when clicked it takes me to an article or letter explaining the bill. It might be helpful like GovTrack to see the actual bill or have a link to the actual bill and amendments to read word for word.”  “I would value knowing if the bill changed (or not) from the last Congress and how.”  “The page was very easy to use. I have two comments: 1. The "Overview" link did not take me anywhere, was it supposed to? 2. The "Introduced" box on the bill timeline looks like a button that can be pressed for more information when it is not accompanied by the "passed the house" button like in the Lymphedema Act bill. I was a little disappointed that I could not click on the "button".” |

Key

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** |
| Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Quantitative Summary of User Testing Feedback** | | | | | |
| **Question** | **% Strongly Disagree (1)** | **% Disagree (2)** | **% Neutral (3)** | **% Agree (4)** | **% Strongly Agree (5)** |
| **I understand the purpose of the website.** | 0% | 0% | 0% | 25% | 75% |
| **I feel the design of the Legislation Tracker pages is consistent with the main website(revise: aaci-cancer vs aaci-library)** | 0% | 0% | 12.5% | 12.5% | 75% |
| **The web pages have interactive elements.** | 0% | 0% | 0% | 12.5% | 87.5% |
| **The text on each page makes the content easy to read.** | 0% | 12.5% | 0% | 0% | 87.5% |
| **I feel lost when trying to go to the full details page of a specific legislation from the main legislation tracker page.** | 25% | 62.5% | 12.5% | 0% | 0% |
| **I feel lost when trying to return to the main legislation tracker page from a specific legislation details page.** | 50% | 37.5% | 0% | 0% | 12.5% |
| **The legislation overview presents too much information.** | 50% | 12.5% | 25% | 0% | 12.5% |
| **The website was intuitive for me to use.** | 0% | 0% | 12.5% | 37.5% | 50% |
| **I can see myself incorporating this website and its features into my daily work.** | 0% | 0% | 50% | 25% | 25% |

## Screenshots of the free response sections



